The purpose and challenges of screening programs

#### Britt Bang Jensen, Norwegian Veterinary Institute PD TriNation Bergen, March 13.-15. 2018





## Purpose of screening

- Early detection
  - In population
  - In individual
- Overview
  - For control
  - Demonstrate freedom from disease
- Eradication of disease
- Research







## Examples of screening programs

- Cervical cancer
  - Since 2006, all women between 25 and 66 are screened every 3rd year.
  - Prevalence of cancer reduced by 35%, mortality by 50%
- Screening of newborn babies

Veterinærinstituttet

- Bloodtest within 48 hrs. Screened for 23 heritable diseases.
- ~50 cases are discovered each year
- Screening for VHS/IHN....BVD....Trichines...

Resistent bacteria...etc



#### Factors to be considered:

- The population
  - Production system
  - PURPOSE...! Material Geography
- Sampling
  - Sample size
  - Target animals

- Efficacy of tests
  - Sensitivity/specificity
- Acceptable prevalence
- Consequences of a positive test





Prevalence Veterinærinstituttet

- In large populations...
- What prevalence is expected/accepted?
- For example:
  - Sampling 20 fish:
  - =>Detect at least 10% prevalence, with 90% prob.

## Efficacy of test:

- Sensitivity
  - Ability to detect TRUE positive samples
  - (few false negatives)
- Diagnostic sensitivity:
  - Ability to detect TRUE positives in a population

- Specificity
  - Ability to detect TRUE negative samples
  - (Few false positives)

Generally, a screening test should be highly sensitive, and a confirmatory test highly specific





## Sensitivity

Figure 1

- Example:
- 100.000 samples, prevalence 2%, se & sp both 99%:
- 1.980 of 2.000 positives will be positive
- And:
- 980 (1%) of 98.000 negatives will be positive



#### Sensitivity/Specificity



#### Cut-off value...

- Normally a trade-off, set on base of what is the consequences of false positives vs false negatives.
- Should be based on purpose of test:
  - Screening: High sensitivity / low cut-off
  - Confirmatory: High specificity / high cut-off





## The PD-screening

Purpose:

• To reduce the consequences of PD in a PD-zone, to hinder PD in establishing itself in a surveillance-zone and to limit the spread of the specific subtypes of SAV.

§4:

- From each seafarm, 20 fish shall be sampled every month. Heart (and kidney) examined by PCR.
- Before movement, 60 fish must be sampled
- Sampling should target those most likely to have SAV



Veterinærins

#### The PD-screening

- Some rough estimates...
- 400 active farms (-those that have SAV allready)
- \*20 fish\*12 months => 96.000 samples / year
- Costs?
- Benefits?







Norwegian Veterinary Institute

Within PD-zone:

- 320 farms tested
- 130 SAV positive

Surveillance zone:

- 266 farms tested
- 12 SAV positive

# PD-screening —what have we learned so far?

- Peak of SAV just after screening was initiated
- Many cases in epidemic area that would maybe not have been reported
- No surprises in occurence of subtypes





#### PD screening and the purpose:

«To reduce the consequences of PD in a PD-zone»

- Early slaughter? Reduced movements? Reduced waiting time at slaughter?
- «To hinder PD in establishing itself in a surveillance-zone»
- Early detection! Early stamping out!
- «To limit the spread of the specific subtypes of SAV»

#### Why not: «Eradicate PD in specific areas?»





## Suggestions for use of the PDdata

- Early contingency
- Risk map: predicting outbreaks
- Combined with vaccination and mortality data => proof of what works
- Control and eradication
- Research on transmission rates etc





#### Thank you for the attention!





